

Do Japanese Soybean Futures Markets Respond to the USDA Crop Production Report?

by
Phil L. Colling

Suggested citation format:

Colling, P. L. 1993. "Do Japanese Soybean Futures Markets Respond to the USDA Crop Production Report?" Proceedings of the NCR-134 Conference on Applied Commodity Price Analysis, Forecasting, and Market Risk Management. Chicago, IL. [http://www.farmdoc.uiuc.edu/nccc134].

DO JAPANESE SOYBEAN FUTURES MARKETS RESPOND TO THE USDA CROP PRODUCTION REPORT?

Phil L. Colling¹

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) currently publishes several arts which provide statistics on crop and livestock inventories, planted eage, forecasts of production, imports and exports, etc. These reports are rally thought to provide useful public information which allows viduals to make better-informed decisions. Providing this information and therefore lead to more efficient resource allocation. Previous earch indicates that USDA reports do provide useful information to markets showing that cash and futures market prices respond to those reports.²

Common sense and basic theory suggest that, for commodities traded ernationally and supplied by the U.S., prices on foreign markets should pend to relevant USDA reports. USDA reports are released at 3:00pm eastern eafter futures markets in the U.S. have closed. Therefore, futures kets in foreign countries can trade on the information in USDA reports ore futures markets in the U.S. can trade on the same information. If the eign markets respond to USDA reports, then, immediately following USDA orts, the potential might exist for trades to occur in foreign futures kets that might ordinarily take place in U.S. futures markets if the U.S. kets could trade on the USDA information first.

For several years, there have been six futures markets in Japan for ported soybeans. In April 1992, the Tokyo Grain Exchange started a futures aket for corn. Since Japan is a major importer of soybeans and corn, and note the U.S. is a large producer of those commodities, the futures markets Japan might be expected to respond to USDA reports that are relevant to

in and soybean production and supply.

The purpose of this research is to determine if imported-soybean futures ices at Japanese markets respond to the USDA <u>Crop Production</u> report (CPR). ent study methods are used to determine if the variance of price changes mediately following the CPR are greater than the variance of price changes other days surrounding the report. Survey data are also used to proxy pectations of the CPR to determine if prices respond to unexpected formation in the report. Futures prices at the Chicago Board of Trade BOT) are studied using the same methods to compare the characteristics of ice changes between the CBOT and the Japanese markets.

¹Phil Colling is an Agricultural Economist with the Commodity Economics Ivision, Economic Research Service, USDA, Washington, D.C. The author thanks arry Deaton of ERS and Larry Grinchik of the Chicago Board of Trade for equiring and providing recent data on Japanese futures markets. Yoko Akiba the Japanese Section of the Library of Congress was instrumental in beating a source of historical data on Japanese futures prices. Comments by the Library and Gerald Plato, both of ERS, on an earlier draft of this anuscript were very helpful. The opinions expressed in this paper are those the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the U.S. Department of griculture.

²The list of recent studies which investigate agricultural commodity rice responses to USDA and other government reports is extensive. Most of the studies that currently exist are listed in the references.

FUTURES MARKET REACTIONS TO USDA REPORTS

In an efficient market, price reflects all available information relevant to the formation of that price. Prices should adjust quickly to new information. In addition, when information is released, prices should adjust to that information to the extent that it is unanticipated. Prices therefore might not respond to new information if the information was in accord with its expectations.

USDA reports are generally thought to provide information that is very relevant to various markets. This belief is evidenced by the fact that USDA goes to a tremendous effort to ensure that information regarding their reports does not become available before the official release date and time. Those reports offer a unique opportunity to study futures price behavior because the date and time that each report is released are known well in advance. Markets also have time to form expectations of those reports.

If a market's expectation of a report could be observed, the market's response to that report could be measured. In reality, a market's expectation of an event can not be observed. However, in some cases analysts' expectations of a report can be used to proxy the market's expectation. Even if a proxy for expectations concerning the report can not be observed, prices surrounding the report can still be observed. A price depends on supply and demand schedules and on expectations of future supply and demand. A change in price reflects changes in information that affect supply, demand and expectations. Therefore, larger-than-normal price movements occur as the result of larger-than-normal changes in the information set. If a USDA report provides information that on average leads to usually larger-than-normal changes in information, price changes following the release of that report should be greater, on average, than other price changes.3 Therefore, the newsworthiness of a USDA report can be measured by comparing price changes immediately following the report to other price changes surrounding the report's release.

DATA AND METHODS

Pre-release estimates from Knight-Ridder's MoneyCenter are used to proxy market expectations of the USDA <u>Crop Production</u> report. Knight-Ridder releases those data two business days prior to the release of the CPR and after the close of the U.S. futures markets. Prior to each CPR, Knight-Ridder surveys roughly twenty-five analysts to obtain their forecasts of corn and soybean production estimates to be reported in the CPR. The high and low estimates are dropped and the remainder are averaged to form the mean of the pre-release estimates. Table 1 shows the news release for the pre-release estimates of the November 1992 CPR.

The pre-release estimates allow expected and unexpected information to be distinguished so that a direct test of the efficient markets hypothesis (Fama) can be conducted. Unfortunately, only three years of the pre-release survey data, or twelve observations, are currently available. The results of the research using those data are reported later.

 $^{^3 \}text{Summer}$ and Mueller provide a more in-depth explanation of this reasoning.

Because of the limited number of pre-release estimates, a more aditional event study method is also used to test for the newsworthiness of CPR. The methods used closely follow those of Sumner and Mueller. A ason for using Sumner and Mueller's methods is to compare the current wsis and their's. Changes in closing corn and soybean futures prices were served at the Chicago Board of Trade as well as changes in closing soybean Tures prices at the Tokyo Grain Exchange, the Osaka Grain Exchange, the Grain and Sugar Exchange, the Kobe Grain Exchange and the Kanmon Grain hange.4 Closing prices twelve days around the release of the CPR are used om 1975 through 1992 for the Chicago and Tokyo Exchanges and from 1977 wough 1992 for the other Japanese exchanges. The CPR is released monthly, the primary estimates of corn and soybean production occur with the August November reports. 5 The December corn and January soybean contracts are at Chicago Board of Trade are examined. Prices on the Chicago Board of Trade are obtained from the Technical Tools electronic data base. For Japan, the necember soybean contracts are used at the Tokyo and Osaka markets and the anuary soybean contracts are examined at the Nagoya, Kobe and Kanmon markets. rices on the Japanese markets were collected from the daily publication Nihon elzai Shinbun, which is essentially Japan's "Wall Street Journal."

Price changes are taken as differences between closing prices around the release of the CPR. The "report" or release price changes are taken as the price change from the close of trade the day of the CPR to the closing price the following day. The "non-report" price changes are the five preceding and the five following prices around the "report" price change. To take account of differences in the levels of prices, price changes were specified as a proportional change as follows (Sumner and Mueller, p. 3):

(1) $\Delta P/P_t = (P_{t+1} - P_t) / P_t$.

Prices are limited by the amount that they can move from day to day in both the Chicago and Japanese futures markets. Those limits might reduce the measured amount of "true" price movement. However, limits occurred only occasionally following the CPR. The results probably under-report the amount by which CPRs move markets because of the limit price moves that did occur.

Summary statistics of the price changes by month are provided in Table 2. The means generally are not different from zero, as expected. The exception occurred with the November prices at the Tokyo market. The mean was less than zero at the five percent level, suggesting that during the time around the release of the report in November, prices tended to move down in the Tokyo market. There is a tendency for the distribution of price changes in many of the markets, especially the Nagoya market, to have "fat tails" or high kurtosis. This result is consistent with previous research on futures price changes. There is some evidence that the prices are skewed, especially

Most of the Japanese futures exchanges had separate futures markets for imported and domestic soybeans. Futures markets for imported soybeans were investigated in this study. There is also a futures market for imported soybeans at the Hokkaido Grain Exchange. That market was not investigated because futures prices on that market were not published consistently in the data source (Nihon Keizai Shinbun).

⁵Currently prices on the Japanese markets during October and November 1992 are not available. The Japanese prices during November of 1982 are also unavailable.

in the Nagoya market. Though the results are not reported here, tests indicate that daily price changes do not exhibit autocorrelation.

Two measures of the variability in price are used: the "expected value of the absolute price change" (Sumner and Mueller, p. 4):

(2) $E[ABS(\Delta P/P_t)] = 1/N[\Sigma_t|P_{t+1} - P_t|/P_t],$

and the "variance of the relative price change" (Sumner and Mueller, p. 4):

(3)
$$\operatorname{var}(\Delta P/P_{t}) = (1/N)\Sigma_{t}\{[(P_{t+1} - P_{t})/P_{t}] - (1/N)\Sigma_{t}\{(P_{t+1} - P_{t})/P_{t}]\}^{2}$$

under the null hypothesis that no difference exists between the absolute relative price changes and the variance of price changes for CPR release days and other days. The alternative hypothesis is that the absolute relative price change is greater for the announcement days than for the other days.

Tests for a Report Effect

A t-test is used to test for differences in the means of the absolute relative price changes. Since the alternative hypothesis is that the price change is greater on the announcement days, a one-sided test is used. Sumner and Mueller used a t-test to test the null hypothesis of no difference in means of the absolute price changes. This test should be interpreted with caution because the t-test assumes a normal distribution. Since neither mean could be less than zero, the normality assumption is probably violated. Results reported in Table 2 also indicate skewness and kurtosis in some of the series. An F-test is used to test for the null hypothesis of no difference in variance of price changes between the report days and non-report days. The F-test does not have the flaws which the t-test has.

Table 3 reports the results for the entire sample. Results indicate that at the Chicago Board of Trade, the means of the absolute price changes are greater on report days than for non-report days. The t-statistics indicate that the mean absolute price changes are greater for the report days at the five-percent level of significance. The F-tests indicate that the variance of the report price changes are significantly greater than the non-report price changes. These results are consistent with Sumner and Mueller's results and confirm that the CPR provides information to the U.S. corn and soybean futures markets.

Results using the t-test indicate that soybean futures price changes at the Tokyo Grain Exchange and the Kanmon Grain exchange are significantly greater at the five-percent level on report days as compared to other days. However, the F-test does not indicate significance at the ten-percent level. An F-test indicates that the variance of price changes is greater following the CPR as compared to other price changes at the Osaka Grain Exchange. Price changes at the Nagoya Grain and Sugar Exchange are greater on report days almost at the ten-percent level. While the absolute price changes and the variance of prices changes at the Osaka and Kobe markets are greater following the report, they are not statistically greater than the other price changes at any conventionally-used level of significance. Results for the Kanmon Grain Exchange indicate that the absolute price changes and the variance of price changes is significantly greater following the CPR as compared to other days.

Table 4 reports results of the tests for report effects where the sample is broken down by months. The results for corn and soybeans traded at the

BOT are also consistent with Sumner and Mueller's results and show that the PR does provide information to those markets in each of the months. The report effect becomes less pronounced during November.

Evidence is mixed on whether a report effect exists in the Japanese solve an futures markets. The t-tests suggest that the report effect exists and suggest and September in some of the markets. What is surprising report as compared to the other days. This result is partially explained by prices in Japan generally being more volatile during October and November than the prices in Chicago. The F-tests indicates results similar to those using the

Price Reactions to Forecast Errors

If a market is efficient, prices reflect all available information and respond to new information to the extent that the new information is reflect. Therefore, just before a CPR is released, prices should information. After the CPR is released, prices should adjust to reflect the amount by which the information was unanticipated. In other words, prices should adjust to the "forecast error" of the report. The relationship between the price change and the release of the CPR may therefore be expressed as

(4)
$$P_t - P_t^e = \beta(X_t - X_t^e) + \epsilon_t$$

where P_t is the price and P_t^e is the price expected to prevail at time t. In this analysis, P_t^e is the closing price on the day of the CPR and P_t is the closing price the following day. X_t is the information and X_t^e is the information expected at time t. In this case, X_t^e is the mean of pre-release estimates of the CPR as provided by Knight Ridder and X_t is the CPR itself.

The price change is specified as the closing price the day following the CPR minus the closing price the day of the CPR all divided by the closing information set consistent with the specification of the price change, the pre-release estimates all divided by the mean pre-release estimate.

Results are presented in Table 5. These results should be interpreted with some caution because there are only twelve observations for the Chicago Board of Trade and only ten observation for the Japanese markets. Perhaps surprising is the strength of the results given the small number of observations. The parameter estimates for the forecast error are all estimates are expected to be less than zero because a negative relationship should exist between supply and price. For example, if a CPR indicates that

⁶As with any "structural" econometric model in which time-series data are used, the coefficient estimates should also be interpreted with caution because the structure of the system probably changed over the sample. Such a change would lead to biased parameter estimates. Since the sample covers a relatively short time, any structural changes were probably minimal, although this can not be confirmed.

the crop harvest will be higher than expected, prices should fall to reflect those higher-than-expected potential supplies.

The parameter estimates for soybeans are all of roughly the same order of magnitude, with perhaps the exception of that for the Kobe Grain Exchange, suggesting that the Japanese markets value the information in the CPR and that prices respond accordingly. Adjusted R-squares in roughly the 0.27 to 0.54 range, again with the exception of that for the Kobe market, suggest that the forecast error in the CPR explains a fairly large proportion of the price response the day following the report. The Durbin Watson statistics for all of the soybean equations are acceptable, suggesting that the error terms are well behaved. Those equations were estimated using OLS with White's consistent covariance matrix estimator. The Chicago Board of Trade corn equation was estimated using an autoregressive-one process because when the equation was first estimated using OLS, the Durbin Watson statistic indicated the presence of first-order autocorrelation.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study indicate that prices at five futures markets in Japan for imported soybeans respond to the USDA <u>Crop Production</u> report. An event study method was used that compares the variance of price changes just after a report is released to the variance of ten other price changes surround the report's release. The results of the tests for differences in absolute price changes and variances in price changes indicate that prices in Japan respond to the report, but perhaps not to the degree that soybean futures prices at the Chicago Board of Trade respond to the report. Pre-release estimates of analysts' expectations of the report were used to estimate price reactions to unexpected information in the report. Those results indicated that prices at the five Japanese soybean markets respond to the <u>Crop Production</u> report with roughly the same magnitude as soybean prices at the Chicago Board of Trade.

Since this study has determined that futures markets in Japan for imported soybeans react to the USDA <u>Crop Production</u> report, it might be concluded that the potential exists for traders to trade on Japanese soybean futures markets who want to trade on information in the <u>Crop Production</u> report as quickly as possible. Therefore, the Japanese markets might have postreport trades that otherwise might exist at the Chicago Board of Trade. If the new futures market for corn at the Tokyo Grain Exchange also respond to the <u>Crop Production</u> report, it might also have the trades of those wishing to trade corn futures quickly after the report is released.

Despite the results of this study, it can not be concluded that the Chicago Board of Trade looses business to the Japanese markets due to the timing of the release of the USDA <u>Crop Production</u> report or any other USDA report. Even if data on volume in the Japanese markets were currently available, it would be extremely difficult to determine if the Chicago Board of Trade looses business to the Japanese markets. This is because data on volume do not indicate who trades, or why they trade, but just the total number of trades. A survey of futures traders might reveal if and the extent to which the timing of USDA report releases causes futures trades to occur at Japanese markets or elsewhere.

REFERENCES

- Market Reactions to USDA <u>Hogs and Pigs</u> Report." Proceedings of the NCR-134 Conference on Applied Price Analysis, Forecasting, and Market Risk Management, Iowa State University, 1992.
- Under Alternative Monetary Regimes." <u>Journal of Futures Markets</u> 8(1988): 483-510.
- Prices." The Effects of Macroeconomic Announcements on Commodity

 Prices." American Journal of Agricultural Economics 71(1989):389-403.
- Information, and Forecasting." Proceedings of the NCR-134 Conference on Applied Price Analysis, Forecasting, and Market Risk Management, Iowa State University, 1989.
- Colling, P.L., and S.H. Irwin. "The Reaction of Live Hog Futures Prices to USDA <u>Hogs and Pigs</u> Reports." <u>American Journal of Agricultural Economics</u> 72(1990):84-94.
- Golling, P.L., S.H. Irwin and C.R. Zulauf. "Do Livestock Futures Prices Overreact to USDA <u>Hogs and Pigs</u> Reports." Working paper, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Commodity Economic Division, 1992.
- Colling, P.L., S.H. Irwin and C.R. Zulauf. "The Response of Wheat, Corn and Soybean Futures Prices to the USDA Export Inspection Report."

 Proceedings of the NCR-134 Conference on Applied Price Analysis,
 Forecasting, and Market Risk Management, Iowa State University, 1992.
- Colling, P.L., S.H. Irwin and C.R. Zulauf. "The Reaction of Pork Belly and Live Hog Futures Markets to the USDA <u>Cold Storage</u> Report." Working paper, USDA, ERS, April 1993.
- DeVore, N. and P. Orazem. "Market Responses to Error-Ridden Government Crop Forecasts." Selected paper presented at the 1991 AAEA annual summer meeting, Manhattan, Kansas, 1991.
- Fackler, P.L. "On the Relation Between Futures Price Movements and USDA Reports." NC-134 Monograph on Applied Price Analysis, Forecasting, and Market Risk Management, Iowa State University, 1985.
- Fama, E. "Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Works." <u>Journal of Finance</u> 25(1970):383-417.
- Fortenberry, T.R. and D.A. Sumner. "The Effects of USDA Reports on Futures and Options Markets." Proceedings of the NCR-134 Conference on Applied Price Analysis, Forecasting, and Market Risk Management, Iowa State University, 1990.

- French, K.R., R. Leftwich and W. Uhrig. "The Effect of Scheduled Announcements on Futures Markets." Graduate School of Business, University of Chicago, working paper no. 273, 1989.
- Garcia, P. and R.M. Leuthold. "The Effect of Market Information on Corn and Soybean Markets." Proceedings of the NCR-134 Conference on Applied Price Analysis, Forecasting, and Market Risk Management, Iowa State University, 1992.
- Gorham, M. "Public and Private Sector Information in Agricultural Commodities." <u>Economic Review(Federal Reserve Board of San Francisco)</u>, (Spring 1978), pp. 30-38.
- Hoffman, G. "The Effect of Quarterly Livestock Reports on Cattle and Hog Prices." North Central Journal of Agricultural Economics 2(1980):145-50.
- Hudson, M.A., S.R. Koontz, and W.D. Purcell. "The Impact of Quarterly Hog and Pig Reports on Live Hog Futures Prices: An Event Study of Market Efficiency." Department of Agricultural Economics Bulletin AE-54, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, June 1984.
- Milanos, N. "The Effects of USDA Crop Announcements on Commodity Prices."

 <u>Journal of Futures Markets</u> 7(1987):571-89.
- Miller, S.E. "The Response of Futures Prices to New Market Information: The Case of Live Hogs." <u>Southern Journal of Agricultural Economics</u> 2(1979):67-70.
- Nihon Keizai Shinbun. Tokyo, Japan, all August through November issues, 1975 to 1991 and August and September 1992 issues.
- Patterson, P.M. and B.W. Brorsen. "USDA Exports Sales Report: Is it News?" Selected paper presented at the 1991 AAEA annual summer meeting, Manhattan, Kansas, 1991.
- Schroeder, T., J. Blair, and J. Mintert. "Abnormal Returns in Livestock Futures Prices Around USDA Inventory Report Releases." North Central Journal of Agricultural Economics 12(1990):293-304.
- Sumner, D.A., and R.A.E. Mueller. "Are Harvest Forecasts News? USDA Announcements and Futures Market Reactions." American Journal of Agricultural Economics 71(1989):1-8.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. <u>Crop Production</u>, National Agricultural Statistics Service, all August to November reports, 1975 to 1992.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. "Hog Reports and Market Prices."

 <u>Agricultural Situation</u>, Statistical Reporting Service, April, 1977

Table 1. Knight-Ridder Pre-Release Estimates of the November 1992 USDA Crop

NTGHT-RIDDER MoneyCenter News #11439 Received at 2:15P on 6-Nov-92

The following are analysts' estimates in billions of bushels for the November US crop production report, based on conditions as of November US crop production report, based on conditions and conditions are crop production report, based on conditions are crop production report in the crop production report in the crop production report in the crop production report is crop production report in the crop p

	Average	Range	USDA Oct	average a Fin	nd range. al 1991
Soybeans (25)	2 128	2 100 2 100	report	proc	duction
Corn (24)	9 170	8.925-9.536	2.108		.986
	7.170	0.923-9.336	8.938	7.	474
ADM Investor Se	rvices		Soybeans		
A. G. Edwards	TATCES		2.120	9.200	
AgriAnalysis			2.140	9.200	
AgriVisor Service	200		2.108	9.234	
Allendale Inc.	-65		2.117	9.150	
Brock Associates			2.105	9.062	
Cargill Investor	Corridor	. T	2.150	9.120	
Dean Witter Reyr	. Service:	s inc.	2.125	8.925	
DEC Futures	iotus		2.140	9.245	
Farmers Commodit	ion Com-		2.151	9.109	
Hjort Associates	les corp.	e ²	2.180	9.536	
Knight-Ridder Cl	oh ol 17	1	2.108	9.128	
Knight-Ridder Gl Lehman Bros.	obal weat	her Services	2.102	9.180	
Merchants Tradin	_		2.142	9.130	
Merrill Lynch Fu	8 		2.100		
O'Connor and Co.	cures		2.130	9.038	
Midco Commoditie	_		2.112	9.141	
Peters and Co.	S		2.130	9.248	
Prudential Securi			2.150	9.200	
RWA Associates	ltles		2.126	9.185	
Smith Barney Va-			2.121	9.190	
Smith Barney, Har	ris, Uph	am and Co.	2.149	9.280	
Steward-Peterson UNECO Investor Se	Advisory	Group	2.150	9.210	
US Commodities (D	rvices		2.139	9.165	
Weather Some	SM)		2.100	9.060	
Weather Services	Corp.		2.100	na	End

Table 2. Summary Statistics for Daily Price Changes Twelve Days Surrounding the Grop Production Report by Month, 1975 to 1992.

		Month	of Cro	p Product	<u>ion</u> Repo	rt and Co	mmodity		
Price- Change	A	ugust		tember	October			November	
Statistic Corr	Corn	Soybeans	Corn	Soybeans	Corn	Soybeans	Corn		
Chicag	o Board	of Trade-	-					Doybear	
mean	-0.068	-0.053		-0.002	0 000				
(s.e.)	(0.107)	(0.125)	(0.087)	(0.100)	-0.080	-0.070	-0.051	-1.074	
Variance	0.023	0.031	0.015		(0.120)	(0.090)	(-0.701)	(0.097)	
Skewness		-0.190		0.020	0.011		0.010	0.019	
Kurtosis	.347		0.336	0.489	0.258		-0.057	-0.305*	
Tolero	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.40)	0.439	0.939	1.519*	* 0.224	
Tokyo G	rain Ex	change							
(s.e.)		-0.109		-0.099		-0.030			
Variance		(0.128)		(0.112)		(0.122)		-0.253**	
Skewness		0.031		0.025		0.028		(0.115)	
		0.234		-0.061				0.023	
Kurtosis		0.608*		0.364		-0.252		-0.012	
				0.304		-0.065		-0.255	
Osaka G	rain Exc	change							
nean		-0.130		-0.000		_			
(s.e.)		(0.136)			-0.004			-0.182	
Variance		0.033	(0.140)		((0.130)		(0.141)	
Skewness		0.388	0.035			0.028		0.031	
Kurtosis		0.639		0.056	-	0.594**		0.317	
				4.860**		1.599**		0.272	
Nagoya G	rain an	d Sugar Es	change.						
		0.179	-onange-						
(s.e.)		(0.143)	,	059	.077		-	0.098	
Variance		0.036	(0.187)	(0.106)		(0.143)		
Skewness		0.307*		.062	.018		0.031		
Kurtosis		1.868**		0.744**	-	0.174		1.049**	
		1.000	4	1.717**	(0.775**		7.171**	
Kobe Gra	in Excha	inge							
iean	-	0.159	-(0.011	P				
s.e.)		0.135)	-(116		0.118	-(0.179	
ariance		0.032	((0.116)	(0.108)).123)	
kewness		0.300		0.024	0.019		0.024		
urtosis		0.579		0.070		.201		.365	
			C	792	1	.265**		.882	
Kanmon Gr	ain Exc	hange							
ean		1.126	^	001					
s.e.)		1.127)		.001	-0.105		-0	.135	
ariance		.029		.104)	(0	.097)		.111)	
kewness		.020		.019		.016		.019	
urtosis		.026		.020		.452		.131	
	U	.020	0	.026		. 635		. 505	

Note: Significance is indicated by one and two asterisks for the ten- and five-percent levels, respectively.

Table 3. Tests for Announcement Effects Over the Entire Sample

	Co	mmodity	the Entire Sample
Statistic	Corn	Soybeans	_
Chicago Board Non-Report Days	of Trade	Doybeans	
$E[ABS(\Delta P/P_t)] \times 100$	0.884	1.028	
Var(ΔP/P _t)×100	0.013	0.018	
Report Days E[ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100	1.433		
$Var(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$	0.034	1.913	
t-Statistic for Report Effect	3.683**	0.052 4.965**	
F-test for Report Effect	2.613**	2.892**	
Tokyo Grain Exch. Non-Report Days E[ABS(ΔΡ/P _t)]×100	ange		
Var(ΔP/P _t)×100		1.244	
Report Days E[ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100		0.027	
Var(ΔP/P _t)×100		1.623	
-Statistic for		0.037	
Effect		1.793**	
test for eport Effect		1.382	
Osaka Grain Exchanges on-Report Days ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100	nge		
r(ΔP/P _t)×100		1.307	
Port Days ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100		0.031	
r(ΔP/P _t)×100		1.424	
Statistic 5		0.052	
Ellect		0.317	
est for ort Effect		1.679**	

Table 3. continued

_		Commodity	
Statistic	Corn	boybeans	
Nagoya Grain and $\frac{\text{Non-Report Days}}{\text{E}[\text{ABS}(\Delta P/P_t)] \times 100}$	Sugar	Exchange	
		1.190	
$Var(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$		0.037	
Report Days			
E[ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100		1.522	1
$Var(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$		0.037	
t-Statistic for Report Effect		1.286	5
F-test for Report Effect		1.003	
Kobe Grain Exchang	e		
Non-Report Days E[ABS(ΔP/P _t)×100		1.140	
$Var(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$		0.024	
Report Days E[ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100		1.371	
$ar(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$		0.030	
-Statistic for eport Effect		1.097	
-test for eport Effect		1.252	
Kanmon Grain Exchan	ge		
$[ABS(\Delta P/P_t)] \times 100$		1.043	
$ar(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$		0.019	
Port Days ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100		1.414	
$r(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$		0.033	
Statistic for port Effect		1.925**	
test for port Effect		1.739**	

Note: Significance for a one-sided test is represented at the ten- and five-percent levels by one and two asterisks, respectively.

223
Table 4. Tests for Announcement Effects by Month

			or crop	Producti	on Repo	ort and	Commodi	ty	
ratistic	August		September			tober		November	
The state of the s		Soybean	s Corn	Soybeans	Corn	Soybean		Soybeans	
-Chicago Board	of Trad	e					COLI	Boybeans	
ABS (ΔP/P _t)]×100	1.105	1.279	0.907	1.017	0.797	0.842	0.726	0.972	
en(ΔP/P _t)×100	0.019	0.028	0.013	0.017	0.010	0.012	0.009	0.972	
$ABS(\Delta P/P_t)]\times 100$	1.871	2.222	1.370	1.819	1.397	1.951	1.093		
Var(ΔP/P _t)×100	0.059	0.066	0.034	0.052	0.028	0.058	0.020	1.669	
Statistic for Report Effect	2.059**	2.170**	1.534*	2.310**		3.538**		0.040 2.064**	
test for Report Effect	3.075**	2.387**	2.591**	3.119**	2.871**	4.792**	2.254**		
Tokyo Grain Excl	nange			The state of the s				7	
E[ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100		1.280		1.184		1.281			
Var(ΔP/P _t)×100		0.028		0.023		0.027		1.231	
Report Days E[ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100	:	2.056		1.854			(0.024	
Var(ΔP/P _t)×100		0.056				1.400	1	1.182	
t-Statistic for Report Effect		.749**		0.045 L.592*		0.032 0.280		0.017	
F-test for				_	`	0.280	-0	.123	
Report Effect		.018**	1	.965**	1	L.400	0	.719	
Osaka Grain Exch	ange								
$[ABS(\Delta P/P_t)] \times 100$	1	.328	1	.261	1	.229	1	.411	
ar(ΔP/P _t)×100	0	.031	0	.035		.029		032	
[ABS(ΔP/P _t)]×100	1.	.837	1.	.652	1	. 208			
ar(ΔP/P _t)×100	0.	051	0.	042		.020		000	
Statistic for port Effect	1.	081		797		.020		015 846	
test for port Effect	1.	650**	1.	225		695		467	

Table 4. continued

	Month of <u>Crop Production</u> Report and Commodity					
	August	September	October			
Statistic	Corn Soybeans	Corn Soybeans	Corn Soybeans	November		
Nagoya Grain a Non-Report Days	nd Sugar Exchang	e	Toyocans	Corn Soybean		
$E[ABS(\Delta P/P_t)] \times 100$	1.287	1.202	1.018			
$Var(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$	0.031	0.064		1.252		
Report Days E[ABS(ΔΡ/P _t)]×100	2.353		0.019	0.034		
$Var(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$		1.746	1.107	0.881		
	0.085	0.041	0.017	0.009		
t-Statistic for Report Effect	2.155**	0.832	0.242	-0.744		
F-test for Report Effect	2.746**	0.636	0.897	0.281		
Kobe Grain Excha	ange					
$E[ABS(\Delta P/P_t)\times 100]$	1.279	1.077	1.039			
$Var(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$	0.031			1.164		
Report Days		0.022	0.020	0.024		
$E[ABS(\Delta P/P_t)] \times 100$	1.710	1.833	1.094	0.847		
$Var(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$	0.041	0.047	0.018			
t-Statistic for Report Effect	0.924	1.868**	0.145	0.012		
F-test for Report Effect	1.340	2.185**	0.899	0.497		
Kanmon Grain Excl	nange			, and		
[ABS($\Delta P/P_t$)]×100	1.206	0.980	0.919			
$ar(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$	0.026	0.017		1.068		
eport Days		0.01/	0.015	0.019		
$[ABS(\Delta P/P_t)] \times 100$	1.936	1.524	1 000			
$ar(\Delta P/P_t) \times 100$	0.055		1.228	0.967		
Statistic for		0.040	0.022	0.016		
port Effect	1.652*	1.504*	0.918	-0.260		
test for port Effect	2.124**	2.338**	1.454	0.835		

Note: Significance for a one-sided test is represented at the ten- and five-percent levels by one and two asterisks, respectively.

wember 1992 Price Reaction to the Crop Production Report, August 1990 to

				Report,	August 1990 to
Commodity	Constant	Forecast Error	Adj. R ²	Durbin	
Chicago	Board of Tra	de	J. X	Watson	Method
o Fat	0.008 (0.015)	-0.651** (0.261)	0.291	1.498	AR1
oybeans:	0.006 (0.004)	-0.734** (0.186)	0.390	1.439	OLS
Tokyo Gra	ain Exchange-				
soybeans:	0.007 (0.005)	-0.806** (0.179)	0.495	2.432	OLS
Osaka Gra	in Exchange				
Soybeans:	0.006 (0.005)	-0.479** (0.174)	0.272	2.818	OLS
-Nagoya Gra	in and Sugar	Exchange			
Soybeans:	0.003 (0.004)	-0.490** (0.124)	0.463	1.861	OLS
Kobe Grain	Exchange				
Soybeans:	0.002 (0.006)	-0.399** (0.135)	0.109	1.980	OLS
Kanmon Grai	n Exchange				
oybeans:	0.006 (0.003)	-0.617** (0.100)	0.544	2.450	OLS

Note: Significance for a one-sided test is represented at the ten- and fivepercent levels by one and two asterisks, respectively. Standard errors of the estimated coefficients are presented in parentheses. There are twelve Observations for the Chicago markets and ten observations for the Japanese markets.