USING PRODUCTION COSTS AND BREAKEVEN LEVELS TO DETERMINE INCOME POSSIBILITIES

Dale Lattz and Gary Schnitkey Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Executive Summary

This session describes production costs on farms and detail methods for calculating production costs:

- We identify seven benefits that potentially accrue to farmers who calculate their own production costs. Arguably, the most important benefit is that calculating production costs will close a "control" loop on farms. Many farmers use projected costs in planning. Over time, planning accuracy will increase if projected costs are compared to actual costs.
- Production costs vary dramatically across farms. For example, the per acre costs of producing corn and soybeans were averaged over four years between 1995 through 1998 for crop farms who are enrolled in Illinois Farm Business Farm Management and have high quality farmland. Farms ranked in the top one-third in terms of profits per acre had total cost of \$353 per acre. Per acre costs for farms in the low one-third was \$430, a difference of \$77 per acre from farms in the top one-third. This range in costs points out the need for a farm to calculate their own costs: relying on averages can result in dramatic differences in costs. It also points out the need for cost control.
- We present a means of calculating production costs on an individual farm. The method makes use of a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet called the cost allocation worksheet. This worksheet is available at no cost on the world wide web (web.aces.uiuc.edu/farm.doc). Users of the spreadsheet enter yearly expenses incurred on the farm. These expenses then are allocated to different enterprises that the user specifies. This spreadsheet simplifies the process of calculating production costs.



Using Production Costs and Breakeven Levels to Determine Income Possibilities

by Gary Schnitkey and Dale Lattz





http://web.aces.uiuc.edu/farm.doc/



Topics

- **1. Benefits of knowing your cost of production**
- 2. Averages from FBFM
- **3.** Difficulties in calculating costs of production
- 4. Basis for calculating costs
- 5. Variability in costs from FBFM records
- 6. Demonstration of cost allocation worksheet
- 7. **Procedures for allocating costs**
- 8. Factors separating high from low profit farms



Benefits of Knowing Costs of Production



Benefits

- 1. Useful in budgeting/planning
- 2. Close control loop
- 3. Less reliance on farm averages
- 4. Better information
- 5. Identify strengths and weaknesses
- 6. Marketing targets
- 7. Site specific farming



1. Useful in budgeting/planning

• Complete cash flow and budgets





2. Close control loop

• Many farmers do projected cash flows and budgets

• Need to compare projections to actual results to control business



3. Less reliance on averages

Costs on farms vary

Per Acre Costs for Farms with High
Quality Farmland, 1995 to 1998.Low 1/3 Mid 1/3 High 1/3Total costs \$430\$379\$353Grouped by average mgt. returns



4. Better information

Land purchases

• Land rental decisions

• Expand/quit livestock enterprises

• Machinery purchases



5. Identify strengths and weaknesses

• Comparisons to budgets

• Comparisons to benchmarks



Benefits

- 6. Marketing targets
 - -- direct costs
 - -- total costs
 - -- profit level
- 7. Site specific farming
 - -- need cost data to use this data



Per Acre Budgeted Values From FBFM



Actual Versus Projected Costs, FBFM, Central Illinois Farms

Total Variable Costs Per Acre

Year	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Corn	\$165	\$170	\$169	\$160	\$164	\$179
Soybean	\$100	\$106	\$103	\$99	\$101	\$104



Expense Adjustments

- Fuel costs
 - \$4 increase per tillable acre
 - More for corn, less for beans
- Drying (higher LP price, higher moisture(?))
 \$4 per corn acre
- Nitrogen fertilizer costs
 - \$7 per corn acre



Anhydrous Ammonia Prices

Year	Per ton	Per Acre
1996	\$303	\$28
1997	\$303	\$28
1998	\$253	\$23
1999	\$211	\$19
2000	\$227	\$21
2001	\$300	\$28

Source: U.S.D.A. Per acre based on 150 lbs actual N applied



Adjustments

• Soybeans for corn (?)

• N rates

• "Higher" priced inputs

• Leasing terms



Corn Returns - Soybean Returns

Year	Difference	
1996	\$127	
1997	-24	
1998	-11	
1999	-20	
2000	-8	
2001	-38	6.7 bu. of soybeans



Why switch to soybeans?

• Costs and loan rates seem to favor soybeans

• Less risk

– Lower chances of very low yields



Why stay with corn?

- More likely to be above loan rate
 - Yesterday (\$2.54 for Dec 01 corn, \$5.19 for Nov 01 soybeans on C.B.O.T.)
- Don't screw up rotation
- Greater possibility of high yields and high income



Difficulties in Calculating Production Costs

- More than one enterprise
- Difficulty in allocating costs to more than one enterprise
- Difficulties in allocating overhead costs
- Requires detailed accounting records
- Uncertainties



Basis for Calculating Costs



Basis

Important for comparability

Across years -- should be consistent Across farms -- should be consistent if you want correct comparisons

Need to know when looking at costs in press



Common Basis for Cost Calculation

- 1. Cash flow (not accepted)
 - Analyzes sources of cash flow
 - Useful for looking at cash flow position
 - Should not be used to analyze profitability
 - Includes IT and LT principal payments, unfinanced capital purchases, and family living withdrawals



Common Basis for Cost Calculation

2. Financial

- Returns and costs based on accrual accounting method
- No charges for unpaid labor or equity capital
- Includes depreciation



Common Basis for Cost Calculation

- **3. Economic**
 - Useful for making comparisons across farms
 - Useful for analyzing long-run investment decisions
 - Includes opportunity costs for capital and operator labor



Example of Three Methods

• Based on economic costs to grow corn in Northern Illinois during 1999

Show difference between the methods

 For owned land



Per Acre Variable Costs, Corn

	Cash					
	Flow *	Financial	Economic			
Variable costs						
Fertilizer	\$49	\$49	\$49			
Pesticides	32	32	32			
Seed	35	35	35			
Drying, storage	13	13	13			
Mach repair	<u>35</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>35</u>			
Total	\$164	\$164	\$164			

*Cash flow could differ from other basis.



Overhead and Labor

	Cash		
	Flow	Financial	Economic
Variable costs	\$164	\$164	\$164
Overhead	33	33	33
Paid labor	5	5	5
Unpaid labor *			29
Family Living	25		
Running total	\$227	\$202	\$231

* Charge for operator's labor



Interest on Nonland Items

	Cash			
	Flow	Financial	Economic	
Running total	\$227	\$202	\$231	
Paid interest	10	12		
Interest charge *			<u>33</u>	
Running total	\$237	\$214	\$264	

* Based on asset value times an interest rate



Machinery Related Costs

	Cash			
	Flow	Financial	Economic	
Running total	\$227	\$214	\$264	
Depreciation		33	33	
Inter. principal	10			
Purchases	20			
Running total	\$252	\$247	\$297	

* Based on asset value times an interest rate



Land Costs for Owned Land

	Cash		
	Flow	Financial	Economic
Running total	\$252	\$247	\$297
Property taxes	28	28	28
Paid interest	30	30	
Principal payment	10		
Adjusted cash rent	*		<u>111</u>
Running total	\$320	\$305	\$436

* Gives opportunity cost for land investment



Variability in Costs from FBFM Records



Demonstration of Cost Allocation Worksheet



Cost Allocation Sheet

Available at farm.doc

Web.aces.uiuc.edu/farm.doc

(in finance section under FAST tools)



Procedures for Allocating Costs



Procedures

- 1. Starting point
- 2. Determine enterprises
- 3. Unit of comparisons
- 4. Period of analysis
- 5. Adjustments
- 6. Allocating costs



1. Starting point

• Total costs in categories for a year

Examples:

- -- Computer records
- -- Paper accounting system

-- Schedule F





2. Determine enterprises

Tradeoff:

Detail	versus	Accuracy
Usefulness (?)		Effort

Examples:

Corn Soybeans Custom work Corn -- farm 1 Corn -- farm 2



3. Unit of comparison

Examples: Crops: Total, Per tillable acre, Per operator acre, per bu. Livestock: Total, Per pig sold, Per cwt. sold **Custom work/farming: Total**



3. Unit of comparison

Operator acre.

Waits acres by share of revenue.

Why? Places costs on standard basis across rental arrangements.



Operator acre

1 owned or cash rent acre = 1 operator acre 1 share rent acre (50%) = .5 operator acre





4. Period of analysis

For crops, usually one year



5. Adjustments

 Cash settlements -- share-rent landlord costs (e.g., farmer pays \$1,000 for seed but share-rent landlord pays his share of \$500, need to reduce seed expense by \$500)



5. Adjustments

• Accounts payable -- Costs already incurred but not paid for

 Prepaid expense -- Items paid for but related to next year's production (e.g., Apply and pay for 2001 fertilizer in 2000)



5. Adjustments

	Cash					Cash	
	Operating	B.O.Y.	E.O.Y.	B.O.Y.	E.O.Y.	Settle-	
ltem	Expense	Ac Pay.	Ac Pay.	Prepaid	Prepaid	ments	Expense
Interest	15045	1850	3550				16745
Labor	12927		1000				13927
Pesticides	22431			6500	9250		19681
N fertilizer	9062			4500	6500		7062
Other fertilizer	12700			10500	7500		15700
Seed	20712			7500	11750		16462
Machine hire	7855						7855
Drying	4637	1500					3137
Storage	3686						3686
Machine repair	14548						14548
Fuel	8790			1000	1000		8790



6. Allocate costs

Methods:

1. Direct -- know the cost for each category (e.g. fertilizer expense to corn)

2. Indirect -- can not directly allocate costs. Need to use some allocation method (e.g., machinery and overhead expenses)



Suggested indirect allocation methods for crops

- **1. Per tillable acre -- machinery expenses**
- 2. Per operator acre -- perhaps for overhead expenses, crop expenses
- 3. Budget -- based on estimated percentages from Illinois crop budgets
- 4. Total revenue
- 5. Total expenses



Factors Separating High Profits from Low Profit Farms



Data

• FBFM farms

- 640 grain farms:
 - little revenue from livestock
 - high soil rating
 - data from 1995 through 1998
- Divide into high, mid, low 1/3 categories based on average management return over the four years



Per Acre Revenue and Costs, FBFM Farms, Central Illinois, High Soil Rating, 1995-98

	High 1/3	Mid 1/3	Low 1/3
Gross Revenue	\$415	\$396	\$380
Total Expense	<u>353</u>	<u>378</u>	<u>428</u>
Mgt. Returns	62	18	- 48



Characteristics Averages for 1995-98

b Diff.
No
No
No
Yes
Yes
No
NT



Costs Per Acre

Item	High 1/3	Mid 1/3	Difference
Crop	\$93	\$98	-5
Power	58	63	-5
Building	18	18	0
Labor	32	35	-3
Other	48	50	-2
Land	<u>104</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>-10</u>
Total	352	378	-25



Total Costs and Tillable Acres





Persistence Across Years

